- 1			
1	Richard Van Duzer (State Bar No. 136205) rvanduzer@fbm.com		
2	Erik C. Olson (State Bar No. 260452)		
3	Vanessa K. Ing (State Bar No. 329577)		
4	ving@fbm.com Farella Braun + Martel LLP		
5	One Bush Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, California 94104		
6	Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480		
7	Attorneys for Defendant ALLIANCE		
8	MIDWEST, LLC sued herein as ALLIANCE SALES & MARKETING MW, INC.		
9			
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
13			
14	BRIGHT PEOPLE FOODS DBA DR.	Case No. 2:24-cv-00060-WBS-AC	
15	MCDOUGALL'S RIGHT FOODS,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO	
16	Plaintiff,	CONSOLIDATE RELATED CASES	
17	VS.	The Honorable William B. Shubb	
18	ALLIANCE SALES & MARKETING MW, INC., and DOES 1 TO 20,	Action Filed: November 27, 2023 Trial Date: June 2, 2026	
19	Defendant.		
20			
21	This Stipulation to Consolidate Related Cases is entered into by and among Plaintiff Bright		
22	People Foods dba Dr. McDougall's Right Foods ("Bright People") and Defendant Alliance		
23	Midwest, LLC ("Alliance"), sued herein as Alliance Sales & Marketing MW, Inc., based on the		
24	following facts:		
25	WHEREAS, the Court issued a Related Case Order (Dkt. No. 11) ruling that this case		
26	(Bright People Foods DBA Dr. McDougall's Right Foods v. Alliance Sales & Marketing MW,		
27	Inc., removed to the Eastern District of California, Sacramento Division on January 8, 2024 ("the		
28	Bright People case")) should be deemed related to the case R & M Innovations LLC v. Alliance		

1	Sa
2	the
3	
4	thi
5	ma
6	In
7	
8	co
9	
10	in
11	bu
12	
13	an
14	
15	St
16	Pr
17	
18	

tles & Marketing MW, Inc., Case No. 2:24-cv-00058-WBS-AC, removed to the same district on e same date ("the R & M case").

WHEREAS, both the *Bright People* case and the *R & M* case arise from, among other ings, alleged breaches of substantially identical brokerage agreements entered into between the ain parties, i.e., one between Bright People and Alliance, and another between R & M novations LLC ("R & M") and Alliance;

WHEREAS, counsel for Bright People and R & M are the same in each litigation, and unsel for Alliance are the same in each litigation;

WHEREAS, both actions concern substantially the same parties, transactions, or events, volve the same witnesses and evidence, and it appears likely that there will be an unduly ordensome duplication of labor and expense if the cases are kept separate;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that, in light of the foregoing, it is in the interests of judicial d party economy to consolidate both actions;

WHEREAS, the parties in the R & M case have entered into and filed an identical ipulation and Proposed Order to Consolidate Related Cases to the present Stipulation and oposed Order;

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NOW THEREFORE, the parties, by and through their counsel of record, stipulate as follows, subject to Court approval:

- 1. The cases are consolidated for all purposes for discovery, motions, and trial.
- 2. Bright People and R & M will be considered the Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants in the consolidated action. Alliance will be considered the Defendant and Counterclaimant against Bright People and R & M.
- 3. The docket in Case No. 2:24-cv-00060-WBS-AC shall constitute the master docket for every action in the consolidated action, all deadlines set in Case No. 2:24-cv-00060-WBS-AC shall apply to both actions, all deadlines set in Case No. 2:24-cv-00058-WBS-AC shall be vacated, and the caption for all filings in Case No. 2:24cv-00060-WBS-AC shall acknowledge both original actions in accordance with the

below template: 1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 SACRAMENTO DIVISION 5 Case No. 2:24-cv-00060-WBS-AC BRIGHT PEOPLE FOODS DBA DR. MCDOUGALL'S RIGHT FOODS, 6 [DOCUMENT NAME] Plaintiff, 7 The Honorable William Shubb VS. 8 Action Filed: November 27, 2023 ALLIANCE SALES & MARKETING MW, Trial Date: June 2, 2026 9 INC. and DOES 1 TO 20, 10 Defendants. 11 R & M INNOVATIONS LLC, Case No. 2:24-cv-00058-WBS-AC 12 Plaintiffs, (CONSOLIDATED) 13 VS. 14 ALLIANCE SALES & MARKETING MW, INC. and DOES 1 TO 20, 15 16 Defendants. 17 18 4. The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Eastern District of California's rules 19 regarding discovery shall apply as though the cases were always consolidated under 20 one caption, such that all discovery already served will go toward the limits for one 21 case set by those rules, and information obtained in response to any discovery 22 already served or responded to (including depositions) will be deemed to have been 23 served and responded to in the consolidated case. 24 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 25 26 27 28

Page 4 of 4